

## MATHEMATICS

ON THE EXISTENCE OF A SUBINVARIANT MEASURE <sup>1)</sup>

BY

RICHARD E. WILLIAMSON <sup>2)</sup> AND TJALLING C. KOOPMANS <sup>3)</sup>

(Communicated by Prof. H. FREUDENTHAL at the meeting of September 28, 1963)

Haar measure is invariant under the homeomorphisms induced by the group operation in the measure space. Consider instead the problem of finding a subinvariant measure for a locally compact space with respect to a set  $\mathcal{G}$  of homeomorphisms. That is, we look for a measure  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda(GB) \leq \lambda(B)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  and Borel sets  $B$ . Clearly the existence of such a measure when  $\mathcal{G}$  is a group implies that  $\lambda$  is already invariant, so it is natural to consider semigroups  $\mathcal{S}$  of homeomorphisms instead. Furthermore, for a monotone set function  $\lambda$  the relation  $GB \supset B$  implies  $\lambda(GB) \geq \lambda(B)$ , and it is therefore natural to require  $GB \not\supset B$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{S}$ .

In this paper we take the underlying space to be the open unit interval  $I$ . The construction of the set function  $\lambda$  given below follows the construction of Haar measure for compact sets as described in [1, Ch. XI].

The problem of a subinvariant measure on an interval has arisen from an economic problem in the axiomatics of utility [2, 3]. The latter problem concerns choice between consumption programs each consisting of an infinite sequence of future consumption vectors. The points of  $I$  on which  $\mathcal{S}$  operates are utility levels of these programs. The elements  $G$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  represent the effect on utility levels of postponement of programs by a stated number of time units. Each  $G$  is labeled by that number and by the "momentary" utility levels associated with the consumption vectors inserted in the gaps created by postponement. The existence of a measure on  $I$  subinvariant for  $\mathcal{S}$  signifies a certain lack of patience with regard to the time of availability of desirable goods.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a semi-group of homeomorphisms from  $I$ , the open unit interval, to  $I$ , having the properties*

- (a) *that  $GU \supset U$  never holds for an interval  $U$  of  $I$  and a  $G \in \mathcal{S}$ , and*
- (b) *that for any given open interval  $U$  of  $I$  an arbitrary point of  $I$  can be covered by  $GU$  for some  $G \in \mathcal{S}$ .*

---

<sup>1)</sup> Research on this paper was started in 1960–61 while both authors were visiting at Harvard University, and was continued in the summer of 1962 at the Cowles Foundation under Task NR 047–006 with the Office of Naval Research.

<sup>2)</sup> Department of Mathematics, Dartmouth College.

<sup>3)</sup> Cowles Foundation for Research in Economics at Yale University.

Then there exists a real function  $\lambda$  defined on closed intervals  $D$  of  $I$ , finitely additive on intervals with disjoint interiors, positive on non-degenerate intervals, and such that  $\lambda(D) \geq \lambda(GD)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{S}$  and all  $D \subset I$ .

Proof: Fix a point  $p$  in  $I$  and let  $U$  be an open interval containing  $p$ . If  $D$  is a closed subinterval of  $I$  let

$$(D : U) = \min \{n \mid D \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i, U_i = G_i U, G_i \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Obviously,  $(D : U) \geq 1$ , and it follows from the compactness of  $D$  that  $(D : U)$  is finite. Define, for  $A$  fixed, closed and non-degenerate in  $I$ ,

$$\lambda_U(D) = (D : U) / (A : U).$$

If the intervals  $U_i = G_i U$ ,  $G_i \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , form a cover of  $A$  by  $n$  images of  $U$  and the intervals  $A_j = G_j' A$ ,  $G_j' \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n'$ , a cover of  $D$  by  $n'$  images of  $A$ , then clearly  $U_{ji} = G_j' G_i U$  is a cover of  $D$  by  $n'n$  images of  $U$ , with  $G_j' G_i \in \mathcal{S}$  for all  $j, i$ . Hence  $(D : U) \leq (D : A) \cdot (A : U)$ , and, if  $D$  is non-degenerate,

$$(1) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{(A : D)} \leq \frac{(D : U)}{(A : U)} = \lambda_U(D) \leq (D : A)$$

Let  $\Phi$  be the set of functions  $f$  defined for closed intervals  $D$  in  $I$  and such that  $0 \leq f(D) \leq (D : A)$ . Provide  $\Phi$  with the topology of convergence on finite sets  $\{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_i\}$  [4, p. 92]. Then  $\Phi$  is compact, by Tychonoff's theorem on the compactness of a product of compact spaces [4, p. 143].

Let  $A(U) = \{\lambda_U \mid U \supset V, p \in V\}$ . It is straightforward to verify [1, p. 255] that the family of all sets  $A(U)$  has the property that any finite subfamily  $\{A(U_1), \dots, A(U_n)\}$  has a non-empty intersection. Since  $\Phi$  is compact there is therefore a function  $\lambda$  in  $\bigcap_{p \in U} \overline{A(U)}$ . For  $D$  non-degenerate,

(1) implies  $\lambda(D) > 0$ .

To show that  $\lambda$  is finitely additive we use two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\{U_n\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , is a nested sequence of neighborhoods of  $p$  converging to  $p$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A : U_n) = \infty$ .*

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Clearly  $(A : U_n)$  is a non-decreasing function of  $n$ . Suppose  $(A : U_n) \leq N$  for all  $n$  for some fixed integer  $N$ . Choose  $N$  disjoint open intervals  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , in  $A$ . By premise (b) of Theorem 1 we can find open intervals  $U_i'$  about  $p$  such that  $G_i A_i = U_i'$  for some  $G_i \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $U_0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^N U_i'$ . Then  $p \in U_0$  and, by premise (a) of Theorem 1, no  $A_0$  with  $G U_0 = A_0$  for some  $G$  can contain an  $A_i$ . Hence  $N$  images of  $U_0$  under  $\mathcal{S}$  could not cover  $A$ , which contradicts the premise.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $p$  and  $D$  and  $E$  are closed intervals with disjoint interiors and a common end point, then*

$$-1 / (A : U) + \lambda_U(D) + \lambda_U(E) \leq \lambda_U(D \cup E) \leq \lambda_U(D) + \lambda_U(E).$$

Proof of Lemma 2. The second inequality holds because the union of minimal coverings of  $D$  and  $E$  is a covering of  $D \cup E$ , perhaps not minimal. The first inequality holds because the latter covering of  $D \cup E$  can be turned into a minimal covering of  $D \cup E$  by removing at most one interval that covers the common endpoint of  $D$  and  $E$ .

To prove additivity of  $\lambda$ , notice that  $\lambda \in \overline{A(U)}$ , for all neighborhoods  $U$  of  $p$ , implies that there is for any finite set of closed intervals  $\{D_1, \dots, D_N\}$  a nested sequence  $U_n$  converging to  $p$ , such that  $\lambda_n = \lambda_{U_n} \in A(U_n)$  and  $\lambda_n(D_k)$  converges to  $\lambda(D_k)$  for  $k=1, \dots, N$ . For let  $U_n'$  be a sequence of neighborhoods of  $p$  converging to  $p$ . Since  $\lambda \in \bigcap_{p \in U} \overline{A(U)}$ , we have  $\lambda \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{A(U_n')}$ . Then, for any given finite set  $\{D_1, \dots, D_N\}$ , there is for all  $n$  a  $U_n'' \subset U_n'$  such that  $|\lambda_{U_n''}(D_k) - \lambda(D_k)| < 1/n$ ,  $k=1, \dots, N$ . Since the sequence  $U_n''$  converges to  $p$ , it contains a nested subsequence  $U_n$  converging to  $p$  such that  $\lambda_n$  converges to  $\lambda$  on the set  $\{D_1, \dots, D_N\}$ .

For the set  $\{D_1, \dots, D_N\}$  we now take  $\{D, E, D \cup E\}$  of Lemma 2. Then, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $n_\varepsilon$  such that  $n > n_\varepsilon$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_n(D \cup E) - \lambda(D \cup E)| &< \varepsilon/3, \\ |\lambda_n(D) - \lambda(D)| &< \varepsilon/3, \quad |\lambda_n(E) - \lambda(E)| < \varepsilon/3. \end{aligned}$$

From these inequalities it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon + \lambda_n(D) + \lambda_n(E) - \lambda_n(D \cup E) &\leq \lambda(D) + \lambda(E) - \lambda(D \cup E) \leq \\ &\leq \lambda_n(D) + \lambda_n(E) - \lambda_n(D \cup E) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

But by Lemma 2,

$$1/(A : U_n) \geq \lambda_n(D) + \lambda_n(E) - \lambda_n(D \cup E) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, for all  $n$ ,

$$-\varepsilon \leq \lambda(D) + \lambda(E) - \lambda(D \cup E) \leq 1/(A : U_n) + \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 1,  $1/(A : U_n)$  tends to zero. Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\lambda$  is additive.

To check that  $\lambda(GD) \leq \lambda(D)$  it is enough to check the same condition for arbitrary  $\lambda_U$ . Now  $(GD : U) \leq (D : U)$  because a minimal covering of  $D$  by sets  $G_i U$  gives rise to a covering, not necessarily minimal, of  $GD$  by sets  $GG_i U$ . The desired result follows on division by  $(A : U)$ .

Corollary.  $\lambda$  is zero on one-point sets.

Proof: If  $D$  is a one-point set,  $(D : U) = 1$  for all  $U$ . The corollary follows by Lemma 1.

Theorem 2. Any interval function  $\lambda$  of Theorem 1 is continuous in the sense that, if  $D_n$  is a nested sequence of closed non-degenerate intervals, converging to a fixed point  $q$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(D_n) = 0$ .

Proof <sup>1)</sup>. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are in  $I$  non-degenerate intervals having  $\lambda$ -measure at most  $\varepsilon$ . To see this take an interval having finite positive measure  $M$  and partition it into at least  $M\varepsilon^{-1}$  non-degenerate intervals. One of these must have measure at most  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $E_\varepsilon$  be a non-degenerate interval of measure at most  $\varepsilon$ . Then, for some  $G \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $GE_\varepsilon$  contains  $q$  in its interior by premise (b) of Theorem 1, and  $\lambda(GE_\varepsilon) \leq \lambda(E_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$ . For  $n$  sufficiently large  $D_n \subset GE_\varepsilon$  so  $\lambda(D_n) \leq \varepsilon$ .

<sup>1)</sup> We are indebted to R. STRICHARTZ for this simple proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. HALMOS, P. R., *Measure Theory*, van Nostrand, 1950.
2. KOOPMANS, T. C., Stationary Ordinal Utility and Impatience, *Econometrica*, April 1960, pp. 287-309.
3. KOOPMANS, T. C., P. A. DIAMOND and R. E. WILLIAMSON, Stationary Utility and Time Perspective, to be published in *Econometrica*.
4. KELLEY, J. L., *General Topology*, van Nostrand, 1955.