

XIX. NOTE ON RANDOM COEFFICIENTS

BY HERMAN RUBIN

Let us consider an equation of the form

$$(1) \quad y_t = \sum_{k=1}^K a_{kt} x_{kt}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

which defines the dependent variable y_t in terms of the fixed variables x_{kt} and the coefficients a_{kt} which are assumed to be normally and independently distributed with mean α_k and variance $\sigma_k^2 \varphi_{kt}$, where the inverse weights φ_{kt} are known functions of the fixed variates.¹ We could estimate the parameters α_k by least squares, i.e., by the formula

$$\bar{\alpha}_k = \frac{L}{\sum_{l=1}^L m_{yx_l} m^{lk}},$$

where

$$m_{yx_l} = \sum_{t=1}^T y_t x_{lt},$$

and m^{lk} is the element in the l th row and k th column of

$$(m_{kl})^{-1} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_{kt} x_{lt} \right)^{-1}.$$

Although this method can be shown, under certain conditions, to be consistent, it would not be efficient.

We shall derive equations defining maximum-likelihood estimates of the α_i and σ_j^2 . Let us consider the distribution of the y_t . We see that the quantities

$$(2) \quad z_t = y_t - \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k x_{kt}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

¹See also [XVIII].

are normally and independently distributed with mean 0 and variance

$$(3) \quad \sum x_{kt}^2 \sigma_k^2 \varphi_{kt}.$$

Then the likelihood function of the observation becomes

$$(4) \quad L = (2\pi)^{-\frac{T}{2}} \prod_{t=1}^T \left(\sum x_{kt}^2 \sigma_k^2 \varphi_{kt} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k x_{kt})^2}{\sum_{k=1}^K \sum x_{kt}^2 \sigma_k^2 \varphi_{kt}} \right\}.$$

Let us maximize $\log L$ with respect to α_i and σ_j^2 , subject to the restriction $\sigma_j^2 \geq 0$. We obtain

$$(5) \quad \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{x_{it} (y_t - \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k x_{kt})}{\sum_{k=1}^K x_{kt}^2 \sigma_k^2 \varphi_{kt}} = 0,$$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{x_{jt}^2 \varphi_{jt}}{\sum_{k=1}^K x_{kt}^2 \sigma_k^2 \varphi_{kt}} = \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{x_{jt}^2 \varphi_{jt} (y_t - \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k x_{kt})^2}{(\sum_{k=1}^K x_{kt}^2 \sigma_k^2 \varphi_{kt})^2} - \lambda_j,$$

$$(7) \quad \lambda_j \sigma_j^2 = 0,$$

$$(8) \quad \sigma_j^2 \geq 0.$$

It is necessary to introduce the Lagrange multipliers λ_j because the solutions of (5) and (6) with $\lambda_j = 0$ might give negative σ_j^2 . We take

that solution of (5), (6), (7), and (8) which gives to (4) its highest value. It should be noted that it is unnecessary to consider solutions having λ_j 's $\neq 0$ if there is a solution with λ_j 's = 0, and, in general, if there is a solution with only $\lambda_{j_1}, \dots, \lambda_{j_\beta} \neq 0$, it is not necessary to consider solutions with those λ_j 's $\neq 0$ and other λ_j 's $\neq 0$.