

THE EXISTENCE OF MEASURABLE UTILITY  
and  
PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBABILITY

Herzmann Rubin

1. Notation. We shall use the following language in this paper:

- (1.1)  $A \cdot B$  The statements A and B are both true.
- (1.2)  $A \vee B$  Either the statement A is true or the statement B is true or both are true.
- (1.3)  $A \supset B$  A implies B (A is false or B is true).
- (1.4)  $A \equiv B$  A is true if and only if B is true.
- (1.5)  $\exists x \ni A(x)$  There is an x such that A(x) is true.
- (1.6)  $A(x)$  For every x, A(x) is true.
- (1.7)  $A \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} B$  The statement A is defined to be the statement B.
- (1.8)  $\neg A$  A is false.

Dots will be used for punctuation, e.g., in the tautology.

$$(1.9) A \vee B \equiv A \cdot B \cdot \supset \cdot B$$

If we used parentheses instead of dots this would be

$$(1.10) (A \vee B) \equiv [(A \cdot B) \cdot \supset] \cdot B$$

$$(1.11) X \in A \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} X \text{ is an element of the set } A.$$

$$(1.12) A \in B \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} X \in A \supset X \in B.$$

$$(1.13) \mathcal{S} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \text{The space of all states of nature.}$$

$$(1.14) \mathcal{F} \text{ is a convex space of distributions of future histories of states of nature.}$$

$$(1.15) \{x \mid A(x)\} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \text{The set of all } x \text{ such that } A(x) \text{ is true.}$$

$$(1.16) \mathcal{F}^0 \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \{f \mid f \text{ is a function. domain } f = \mathcal{S}. x \in \mathcal{S} \supset f(x) \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

$$(1.17) \mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \{f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}^0, \exists \xi \in \mathcal{F} \ni \exists y \in \mathcal{S} \supset f(y) = \xi\}$$

$$(1.18) \mathcal{K} \text{ is a subspace of } \mathcal{F}^0 \text{ such that } \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{K}.$$

$$(1.19) a, b, c, \dots \text{ are real numbers.}$$

(1.20)  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$  are real numbers between 0 and 1 inclusive.

(1.21)  $x, y, z, \dots$  are elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(1.22)  $X, Y, Z, \dots$  are elements of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

(1.23)  $\xi, \eta, \zeta, \dots$  are elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(1.24)  $R$  is a fixed relation on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

(1.25)  $i =_{df}$  That function mapping  $\mathcal{F}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $i\zeta(x) = \xi$  for all  $x$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

(1.26)  $XiY :=_{df} XRY \cdot YR X$ .

(1.27)  $XPY :=_{df} XRY \cdot \neg YRX$ .

(1.28)  $\xi \begin{Bmatrix} R1 \\ I1 \\ P1 \end{Bmatrix} \eta :=_{df} i \xi \begin{Bmatrix} R \\ P \\ I \end{Bmatrix} i \eta$ .

## 2. Axioms.

- I.  $\mathcal{X}$  is convex.
- II.  $XRY \cdot YRZ \supset XRZ$ .
- III.  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X} \supset \exists \alpha \exists \beta \supset \alpha X + (1-\alpha)Y \cdot \beta X + (1-\beta)Y$ .
- IV.  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X} \cdot 0 < \alpha \supset XRY \cdot \alpha X + (1-\alpha)Z \cdot R \alpha Y + (1-\alpha)Z$
- V.  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X} \cdot x \supset X(x) RiY(x) \supset XRY$ .
- VI.  $XRY \cdot YRZ \supset \exists \alpha \exists \alpha X + (1-\alpha)ZiY$ .
- VII.  $\exists X \exists Y \ni \neg XiY$ .
- VIII.  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X} \cdot x \supset X(x) RiY(x) \vee Y(x) RiX(x) \supset \exists Z \in \mathcal{X} \ni \exists x \supset Z(x) Ri X(x) \cdot Z(x) RiY(x) \cdot Z(x) IiX(x) \vee Z(x) IiY(x)$ .

## 3. Comment on the axioms.

Let us call elements of  $\mathcal{X}$  prospects, elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  certain prospects (a certain prospect may be random).

Axiom III states that for any two prospects, there is a random combination which is as good as any other random combination.

Axiom IV states that it is immaterial in which order choice or a random event occur, provided that a decision can be made before the random event occurs which

corresponds to an arbitrary decision made afterward.

Axiom V states that if, regardless of the state of nature, if it were known, X is as good as Y, then X is as good as Y.

Axiom VII states that there is a possibility of choice.

Axiom VIII states that the "maximum" of two prospects is a prospect.

4. Construction of measurable utility.

(4.1)  $\alpha > 0, \alpha X + (1-\alpha)YRY \supset XRY$  (IV)

I.e., if  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\alpha X + (1-\alpha)YRY$ , then  $XRY$ . This is proved by Axiom IV.

(4.2)  $XRY \vee YRX$  (III) (4.1)

(4.3)  $XPY \equiv -YRX$  (4.2) (1.27)

(4.4)  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}, 0 < \alpha, \supset XRY \equiv \alpha X + (1-\alpha)ZI \alpha Y + (1-\alpha)Z$  (IV)

(4.5)  $\alpha \neq \beta, \alpha X + (1-\alpha)YP \beta X + (1-\beta)Y \supset -XRY$  (4.4)(1.26)(1.27)

(4.6)  $XPY \equiv: \alpha > \beta \supset \alpha X + (1-\alpha)YP \beta X + (1-\beta)Y$  (4.5)(IV)

(4.7)  $\exists X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \in \mathcal{X} \ni: i \neq j, 1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq 4 \supset -X_i I X_j$  (4.6)(VII)

(4.8)  $\exists f \ni: X \in \mathcal{X} \supset f(x)$  real:  $f(X) \geq f(Y) \equiv XRY: f(\alpha X + (1-\alpha)Y)$  (I)(II)(VI)

$= \alpha f(X) + (1-\alpha)f(Y)$  (4.2)(4.4)(4.7)

(4.9)  $X \in \mathcal{X} \supset g(x)$  real:  $g(X) \geq g(Y) \equiv XRY: g(\alpha X + (1-\alpha)Y) = \alpha g(X) + (1-\alpha)g(Y)$

$\therefore \equiv: \exists! a, \exists! b \ni: a > 0, X \in \mathcal{X} \supset g(x) = af(x) + b$  (I)(II)(VI) (4.2)(4.4)(4.7)

(4.10) g is a measurable utility scale

$\therefore \equiv_{df}: X \in \mathcal{X} \supset g(X)$  real:  $g(X) \geq g(Y) \equiv XRY: g(\alpha X + (1-\alpha)Y) = \alpha g(X) + (1-\alpha)g(Y)$ .

5. Construction of psychological probability.

(5.1)  $\exists \gamma \in \mathcal{F} \supset \exists \Pi \gamma \ni: X, Y \in \mathcal{X} \supset XRY$  (1.26)(1.28)(V)

(5.2)  $\exists \exists \gamma \in \mathcal{F} \ni -\exists \Pi \gamma$  (5.1)(VII)

(5.3) g a function on  $\mathcal{X} \supset g(i \xi) \equiv_{df} \xi$

(5.4) g a measurable utility scale  $\therefore \ni: \exists \xi \in \mathcal{F} \supset g(i \xi)$  real:  $g(i \xi) \geq g(\gamma)$

$\equiv \exists R i \gamma: g(i \alpha \xi + (1-\alpha)\gamma) = \alpha g(i \xi) + (1-\alpha)g(\gamma)$  (4.10)(5.3)

$$(5.5) \exists \xi_0 \in \mathcal{E}_0 : \xi_0 \text{ a measurable utility scale } \cdot \xi_0 \in \mathcal{F} \cdot \xi_0(\xi_0) = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

$$(5.6) x \in \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \bar{x}(x) =_{df} \xi_0(x)$$

$$(5.7) G(\xi_0(x)) =_{df} \xi_0(x)$$

$$(5.8) \mathcal{E} =_{df} \left\{ \varphi \mid \exists n \ni n \text{ positive integer } \cdot \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \cdot \exists a_i \cdot \exists \bar{x}_i \ni \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{x}_i \right\}$$

$$(5.9) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \bar{y}_j \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^m b_j = 1 : 1 \leq i \leq n \cdot \triangleright a_i \geq 0 : 1 \leq j \leq m \cdot \triangleright b_j \geq 0$$

$$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \xi_0(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \xi_0(y_j) \quad (5.5)(4.10)$$

$$(5.10) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \bar{y}_j \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^m b_j = 1 : 1 \leq i \leq n \cdot \triangleright a_i \geq 0$$

$$: 1 \leq j \leq m \cdot \triangleright b_j \geq 0 \cdot \triangleright \sum_{i=1}^n a_i G(\bar{x}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j G(\bar{y}_j) \quad (5.7)(5.9)$$

$$(5.11) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \bar{y}_j \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n a_i G(\bar{x}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j G(\bar{y}_j) \quad (5.10)$$

$$(5.12) i \xi_0 = 0. \quad (5.6)(1.25)$$

$$(5.13) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \bar{y}_j \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n a_i G(\bar{x}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j G(\bar{y}_j) \quad (5.11)(5.12)$$

$$(5.14) \varphi \in \mathcal{E} \cdot \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{x}_i \cdot \triangleright E\varphi =_{df} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i G(\bar{x}_i) \quad (5.8)(5.13)$$

$$(5.15) x, y \in \mathcal{X} \cdot \triangleright \exists \varphi \in \mathcal{E} \ni \varphi = \max(\bar{x}, \bar{y}). \quad (5.8)(VIII)$$

$$(5.16) \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E} \cdot \triangleright \exists \eta \in \mathcal{E} \ni \eta = \max(\varphi, \psi). \quad (5.8)(5.15)$$

$$(5.17) \varphi \in \mathcal{E} \cdot \triangleright |\varphi| \in \mathcal{E} \quad (5.16)$$

$$(5.18) \bar{x} \succ \bar{y} \cdot \triangleright G(\bar{x}) > G(\bar{y}) \quad (V)(4.10)(5.7)$$

$$(5.19) \varphi \in \mathcal{E} \cdot \varphi \geq 0 \cdot \triangleright E(\varphi) \geq 0. \quad (5.8)(5.18)$$

$$(5.20) E \text{ is an elementary integral on } \mathcal{E} \quad (5.14)(5.17)(5.19)$$

$$(5.21) \exists \varphi \in \mathcal{E} \ni \varphi \text{ is constant} \quad (5.2)(5.13)$$

$$(5.22) \exists \varphi \in \mathcal{E} \ni \varphi = 1 \quad (5.21)$$

$$(5.23) \exists \mathcal{R}, \mu \ni \mathcal{R} \text{ is a field of subsets of } \mathcal{S}$$

$\cdot \mu$  is a finitely additive measure on  $\mathcal{R} \cdot \varphi \in \mathcal{E} \cdot \triangleright \varphi$  measurable ( $\mathcal{R}$ )

$$\cdot |\varphi| < a \cdot \triangleright E(\varphi) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \quad (5.20)(5.22)$$

$$(5.24) \quad |\bar{x}| < a \supset g_0(X) = \int g_0 \circ X(x) d\mu(x) \quad (5.7)(5.14)(5.23)$$

(5.25)  $g$  a measurable utility scale

$$\supset \exists a. \exists b \ni a > 0. X \in \mathcal{X}. \supset g(X) = ag_0(X) + b \quad (4.9)(4.10)(5.6)$$

$$(5.26) \quad |\bar{x}| < a \supset g(X) = \int g_1 X(x) d\mu(x) \quad (5.24)(5.25)$$

$$(5.27) \quad \exists a \ni |\bar{x}| < a. \equiv \exists \xi_1. \exists \xi_2. \exists \xi_3. \exists \xi_4. \exists \alpha > 0. \exists \beta > 0 \ni x \in \mathcal{X}. \supset \alpha X(x) + (1-\alpha)\xi_1 \text{ Ri } \xi_2. \xi_3 \text{ Ri } \beta X(x) + (1-\beta)\xi_4 \quad (5.5)$$

Therefore we can consider  $\mu$  as a psychological probability with the property that for any "bounded" prospect  $X$ , the utility of  $X$  is the expected value of the utilities of the certain prospects of which  $X$  is composed.